UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,075	06/20/2003	Michael P. Boutillette	BSME120588	8875
26389 7590 02/23/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			EXAMINER	
			STIGELL, THEODORE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
CHORTENED STATISTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DAME		
SHOKIENED STATUTOK	T PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/601,075	BOUTILLETTE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Theodore J. Stigell	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the second and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.3-8.10.11.14 and 15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 8.10-11.15 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.3-7 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent accents and accent any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	. •		
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiseman (3,101,727). Wiseman discloses a tool that could be used as a guidewire exit tool comprising a handle (1), a pin (10) secured to the handle and having a diameter that fits within a guidewire channel of a rapid exchange-type catheter and a web(13) that secures the pin to the handle, the web being positioned laterally with respect to the long axis of the pin, wherein the pin fits in the guidewire channel and the web fits through a slot of a guidewire channel to allow the pin to be moved along the channel by the handle, the web having a forward facing surface that is substantially blunt to prevent cutting the catheter, and the pin having a forward facing surface that is solid in the center and tapered so that the surface can face in the direction of the slot so that as the forward facing surface engages a guidewire the pin lifts an end of the guidewire out of the slot, wherein the handle is oval in shape, has an axis that is angled with respect to a longitudinal axis of the pin, and wherein the handle has opposed recesses (2) and has raised annular rims (3).

Claims 1, 3, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White et al. (5,437,074). White discloses a guidewire exit tool comprising a handle (18), a pin (30) secured to the handle and having a diameter that fits within a guidewire channel of a rapid exchange-type catheter, and a web (24) that secures the pin to the handle, the web being positioned laterally with respect to the long axis of the pin, wherein the pin fits in the guidewire channel and the web fits through a slot of the guidewire channel to allow the pin to be moved along the channel by the handle, the web having a forward facing surface that is substantially blunt to prevent cutting the catheter, and the pin having a forward facing surface that is solid in the center and tapered so that the surface can face in the direction of the slot so that as the forward facing surface engages a guidewire the pin lifts an end of the guidewire out of the channel, and wherein the web has a thickness that is less than a diameter of the pin.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3763

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al. (5,437,074). White meets the claim limitations as described in claim 1 but fail to disclose the handle having an oval shape with a recessed center, opposing sides, and an annular rim. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose this configuration for the handle. The Applicant has not disclosed that this configuration serves any advantage or solves a stated problem. Furthermore, in the final paragraph of the specification, the Applicant discloses that a "conventional handle" could be used with the present invention. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify White to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4-7 because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 10-11, and 15 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3763

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Stigell whose telephone number is 571-272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Theodore J. Stigell

المن المنظمة ا